



**Expert Report of
Richard J. Lee, Ph.D.**
*For Asbestos Property Damage Claims
Product Identification*

Project Number: LSH506355

Report Date: January 17, 2007

Prepared by:
RJ LeeGroup, Inc.
350 Hochberg Rd.
Monroeville, PA 15146
www.rjlg.com

Prepared for:
W. R. Grace & Co.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Executive Summary | 1 |
| 1.0 Qualifications | 2 |
| 2.0 Analyses of Bulk Building Materials | 3 |
| 2.1 Optical inspection of the sample using Stereo Optical and Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM)..... | 4 |
| 2.2 Bulk Weight Loss | 4 |
| 2.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) | 5 |
| 2.4 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)..... | 5 |
| 2.5 Atomic Absorption (AA)..... | 6 |
| 2.6 Ion Chromatography (IC)..... | 6 |
| 2.7 Multiple Analysis Approach | 6 |
| 3.0 Grace Formulas for Asbestos-Containing Building Materials..... | 7 |
| 4.0 Claims Documents Reviewed | 8 |
| 4.1 Samples with Laboratory Data Demonstrating Not a Grace Product..... | 8 |
| 4.2 Samples with Insufficient Laboratory Data | 8 |
| 4.3 Samples with Laboratory Data Inconsistent with Grace Products..... | 9 |
| 4.4 Samples with Laboratory Data Not Inconsistent with Grace Products | 9 |
| 4.5 Claims with Laboratory Data that Fail to Establish the Presence of a Grace Product... | 9 |
| 5.0 Appendix A..... | 10 |
| 6.0 Appendix B | 20 |

Expert Report *Product Identification*

Executive Summary

RJ Lee Group, Inc. (RJLG) was asked by W. R. Grace & Co. (Grace) to review and compile laboratory data for bulk samples that were submitted with asbestos property damage claims, compare the bulk sample results with Grace formulas for asbestos-containing surfacing products and, to the extent permitted by the data, make a determination as to whether the results are inconsistent or not inconsistent with those formulas.

Grace's product formulas are like recipes – they specify the ingredients (i.e., constituents) and the amount (i.e., abundance) of each ingredient to be used in the products. Thus, the products can be tested using conventional laboratory methods and compared to the formulas used at the time of manufacture.

RJLG has extensive experience in performing constituent analysis of building materials. Constituent analysis is the process of evaluating a material through the use of appropriate analytical techniques to identify and quantity the constituent parts of the material. Over the past 20 plus years, RJLG has performed constituent analysis of a wide range of bulk material samples.

From the 445 claims that submitted bulk sample analytical data, RJLG compiled and evaluated laboratory results from nearly 15,000 bulk material samples and compared those results to Grace's formulas. Fifty-one percent (51%) of the results demonstrated that the samples were not a Grace surfacing product; 35% of the results provided insufficient information on which one could base a conclusion; and 10% were samples for which the results did not match Grace's formulas. Only 4% of the results indicated the sample was possibly a Grace surfacing product.

Of the 445 claims, the laboratory data for 143 claims fail to demonstrate the presence of any Grace asbestos-containing surfacing product in the buildings at issue in those claims.

I hold the opinions in this report to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty. In addition to the opinions set forth in this report, I may also rely on or comment on the publications, opinions, data and materials produced in discovery or contained in reports of other experts designated by the claimants or Grace in this action, and I reserve the right to amend or supplement this report as necessary.



Richard J. Lee, Ph.D.
President
RJ Lee Group, Inc.

1.0 Qualifications

Dr. Richard J. Lee obtained a Bachelor of Science degree in physics from the University of North Dakota in 1966 and a Ph.D. in theoretical solid state physics from Colorado State University in 1970. He then went to Purdue University as an Assistant Professor in physics where he taught courses on the principles of optical microscopy. He received tenure at Purdue in less than two years.

In 1973, Dr. Lee went to work for United States Steel, first as a research scientist and thereafter, as director of their physics and electron microscopy department in the Technical Center. He remained at the United States Steel Research Center until 1985. While at United States Steel, he analyzed a wide range of materials and was employed by NASA to analyze moon rocks brought back by the Apollo missions.

During his tenure at USS Research, Dr. Lee was responsible for developing the first techniques for quantitatively identifying amphibole asbestos fibers and cleavage fragments by a combination of transmission electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray analysis. He participated in the original ASTM committee that developed and evaluated the first TEM methods for preparing samples of air, bulk and water for the determination of asbestos content. Dr. Lee was the first scientist to develop methods for distinguishing asbestos amphiboles from cleavage fragments using transmission and scanning electron microscopy.

Since 1985, Dr. Lee has been President of a company now known as RJ Lee Group, Inc., (RJLG) which has its principal office in Monroeville, Pennsylvania, and laboratories in San Leandro, California; and Manassas, Virginia. RJLG provides research, analytical and consulting services relating to materials characterization. Materials characterization of bulk building materials, also referred to as "constituent analysis", involves analyzing a sample of material using various techniques to identify and quantify the components of that material.

Dr. Lee has a long history of scientific consulting and service for government agencies, including the EPA. RJLG's laboratory serves as a quality assurance and referee laboratory on a number of EPA programs. RJLG's laboratory performed the analyses for the EPA's major study on airborne levels of asbestos in public buildings. Dr. Lee has participated in the development by the EPA of analytical methods and procedures for asbestos analyses. The EPA requested that he personally participate in several projects, including the drafting of the portions of the EPA AHERA regulations governing air sample analysis after abatement.

RJLG also performs analyses for the United States Navy, the United States Army and the United States General Services Administration. Dr. Lee developed a program to determine the cause of failure in components of the guidance system in the Trident missile for the Department of the Navy.

Expert Report
Product Identification

RJLG's laboratory has also performed microscopic analyses for the State of California Air Resources Board when that agency performed testing of the air in major cities in the State of California to determine the presence of asbestos.

Dr. Lee is now engaged in and specializes in materials characterization, which is the science that uses a variety of analytical techniques to determine the identity and amount of each component of a material. He has performed materials characterization analyses on many samples of vermiculite produced from different sources for over 15 years. He is familiar with all methods of microscopy that are commonly used in characterizing asbestos or identifying and quantifying asbestos, including optical microscopy, scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy. He is also familiar with all known methodologies, from air sampling to dust sampling, with respect to asbestos. He has worked extensively with, and is an expert in, analytical techniques, including light and electron microscopy, materials characterization, asbestos air, bulk, and dust samples, and methods of evaluation. He has also served as an expert witness in litigation involving asbestos in buildings and ZAI and has testified in state and federal courts.

Dr. Lee is familiar with airborne levels of asbestos fibers both in buildings and in outdoor air, the sources of asbestos in the outside or ambient air, scientific knowledge and techniques regarding the measurement of levels of asbestos in the air, the development and use of the technology to measure both airborne levels of fibers and levels in materials samples, and the standards and methods used for air sampling. He has been involved in analyzing and producing bodies of air sampling data for EPA and other governmental and private entities including analysis of samples taken in an ongoing nationwide study of airborne levels in buildings and his analysis of air samples taken in an EPA-sponsored study in Texas.

He is also familiar with the history of standards governing asbestos including the current standards, regulatory positions and philosophies, different types of asbestos fibers, asbestos fiber levels as reported in the literature, as well as his own work concerning buildings with asbestos-containing materials.

A copy of Dr. Lee's curriculum vitae and publications list, as well as a list of his testimony for the past four years are attachments 1 and 2 hereto.

2.0 Analyses of Bulk Building Materials

In the mid to late 1970's building owners and schools could obtain the analysis of building materials to determine whether they contained asbestos. Such analyses were generally available throughout the country and were performed by PLM and frequently supplemented by dispersion staining.

Expert Report
Product Identification

In the 1979 US EPA document "Asbestos-Containing Materials in School Buildings: A Guidance Document"¹, EPA advised interested parties to request bulk sample analyses by "Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) and X-ray Diffraction (XRD) as necessary to supplement the PLM method".

Over the past twenty years RJLG has analyzed thousands of bulk building material samples by employing various analytical techniques to determine the identity and relative abundances of their constituent components. RJLG has provided expert testimony concerning the results of its analyses in numerous litigations.

Depending on the analytical objectives and nature of the samples, one or more of the following analytical techniques are employed when performing a constituent analysis of bulk building material samples.

- 2.1 Optical inspection of the sample using Stereo Optical and Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM)**
The stereo optical microscope permits evaluation of the color and texture of samples in ordinary light at magnifications ranging from 5x to 40x. Using this technique it is possible to identify and separate layered samples, evaluate the homogeneity of samples and identify and estimate the abundance of coarse materials such as aggregate. This technique is widely used in materials analysis and in quality control inspections as well as for the analysis of samples of bulk building materials.

The polarizing light microscope is used in the examination of virtually all bulk samples. This technique has been used for many years in geology, mineralogy and forensic analysis to identify minerals, organic compounds and biological materials. It is the principal method used by laboratories for identifying and quantifying the presence of asbestos in samples of building material products. The polarizing light microscope allows examination of finely divided samples of building materials at magnifications varying from 50x to 400x. The technique is based on the effects materials have on light. In the PLM technique, light passes through and interacts with the sample, providing information about the mineral's crystal properties, internal structure, formation and composition. Samples are prepared by pulverizing the finely divided material and dispersing it on a glass slide in oil with a known index of refraction. The amount of each substance present in the sample is visually determined by estimating the percentage of the area on the microscope slide occupied by that component.

2.2 Bulk Weight Loss

A portion of the sample is treated with hydrochloric acid (HCl) to determine the weight percentage of the sample that is soluble. The components of building material that are soluble are generally carbonates (e.g., calcite) or sulfate (e.g., gypsum).

¹ Asbestos-Containing Materials in School Buildings: A Guidance Document, Part 1, US EPA, Office of Toxic Substances, C00090, March 1979.

Expert Report
Product Identification

2.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

The SEM is based on the same principles as the optical microscope but it makes use of electrons rather than light as a means of probing the sample. It is also capable of much higher magnifications than the optical microscope and therefore permits the material to be studied on the nanometer (nm) to micrometer (μm) scale. In the examination of bulk building material samples the material is ground to a fine powder and placed in the SEM for examination. A beam of finely focused electrons is passed through a series of magnetic lenses (compared to the glass lens used to produce magnified images in the optical microscope) and onto the sample. When the electrons hit the sample they interact with the material to produce a variety of signals including secondary electrons, backscattered electrons, characteristic x-rays, and photons.

A SEM image is constructed by scanning the finely focused probe in a regular pattern across the sample surface. As the beam is scanned, the secondary and backscattered electrons are collected and used to modulate the intensity of a cathode ray tube in the same manner as a television set. The SEM image is an intensity map and provides a three-dimensional-like image of the surface of the sample.

In addition to the generated electrons that are used to create a SEM image, characteristic x-rays are also produced when the electron beam interacts with the sample. The electron beam can be placed in a static position to obtain an analysis at one point. The unique x-rays that are generated have energies specific to the elements in the sample. The x-ray spectrum that is formed constitutes a chemical or elemental fingerprint of the sample. Knowledge of the elements present in the sample combined with the three dimensional information from the SEM image provides information for the characterization and identification of a variety of materials.

2.4 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

X-ray diffraction is a well-known method for determining the identity and abundance of crystalline components in solid materials. The constituents of bulk building materials are comprised of natural materials that are mined and processed to produce the building material product. Many of these are crystalline in nature and are known as minerals. XRD provides a powerful and reliable method for determining the identity and amount of crystalline constituents in a sample.

Crystalline materials are comprised of a three-dimensional regular array of atoms. The distance between the planes of atoms in this array is on the same order as the wavelength of X-rays. An X-ray beam can therefore be diffracted from these planes of atoms much like visible light is diffracted by a thin grating. This distance, known as the d-spacing, is a fundamental property of a crystalline material. The d-spacing is calculated by a mathematical formula known as Bragg's Law, which is a function of the angle between the incident and diffracted X-ray beam, known as 2-theta, and the wavelength of the X-rays used in the measurement. A crystalline material is identified by matching a series of these d-spacings to a database of known crystalline structures.

The intensity of the X-rays that are diffracted by a compound is proportional to the quantity of that material in the sample. The intensities can be calibrated by relating them to a known amount material added to the sample. This technique, know as the internal standard method, is discussed in Chung².

2.5 Atomic Absorption (AA)

In flame atomic absorption a sample solution is aspirated into the flame where the fine droplets are dried, volatilized and disassociated into ground-state atoms. A beam of light from a single-element hollow cathode lamp, emitting wavelengths characteristic of that element, is passed through the flame containing the atomized sample. The ground state atoms in the flame absorb the light from the hollow cathode lamp decreasing the intensity of the lamp's beam. The amount of light absorbed is a function of the concentration of that specific element in the sample.

2.6 Ion Chromatography (IC)

The retention of ions on an exchange column can be used to separate individual ionic species much the same way that the gas chromatograph separates gaseous phases. The retention times can be used to determine the identity of the species and the increase in conductivity as the detector can be calibrated with suitable standards to determine quantity. Using ion chromatography, analysis of anions such as chloride, fluoride, bromide, nitrate, sulfate and phosphate can be performed.

2.7 Multiple Analysis Approach

Typically RJLG employs a multiple analysis approach for analyzing bulk building material samples. This approach is used because the samples are comprised of constituents having certain physical, chemical (elemental) and textural characteristics or "fingerprints". Because some constituents are more readily identified and quantified by certain analytical techniques than others, and because some tests may not be able by themselves to distinguish or quantify certain constituents definitively, no one test can be used exclusively for determining the constituents and their relative abundances in a sample. Generally, the same analytical techniques are used for identifying and quantifying constituents. However, certain methods provide better estimates of the abundance of a constituent than others. RJLG's multiple analysis approach is used to confirm preliminary findings and brings precision and accuracy to the analyses.

After performing the multiple analyses of a sample, all results are considered, evaluated and relied upon to form an opinion about the constituents present and their relative abundances.

² Chung, F. H. (1974a) "Quantitative Interpretation of X-ray Diffraction Patterns of Mixtures. I. Matrix-Flushing Method for Quantitative Multicomponent Analysis." *J. Appl. Cryst.* v7, 519-525 (Theory).

Expert Report
Product Identification

3.0 Grace Formulas for Asbestos-Containing Building Materials

Over the years, RJLG has compared and contrasted the results of its numerous analyses of bulk building materials with product formula at issue. Additionally, RJLG has also compared the results of analyses performed by other laboratories to Grace's product formulas.

In determining whether sample results are inconsistent or not inconsistent with particular product formulas, RJLG evaluates whether the constituents named in the product formulas are present or absent, whether constituents not called for in the product formulas are present and how estimated abundances of the constituents in the sample compare with the abundances specified in the product formulas.

RJLG has reviewed and is familiar with product formulas for Grace's spray-on asbestos-containing building products.

Generally these products fall into two categories: fireproofing and acoustical plasters. Grace's asbestos-containing fireproofing generally consisted of gypsum, vermiculite and chrysotile asbestos. Grace's formulas for acoustical plaster varied to some degree but generally the constituents included vermiculite, clay, chrysotile and sometimes titania. A copy of the Grace formulas for asbestos-containing surfacing materials is included in Appendix A.

Comparing bulk material sample results to product formulas is similar to comparing a list of ingredients to a recipe. A sample is determined to be inconsistent with the formulas if:

- *Constituents are present that are not called for in the formulas.* For example, the formulas call only for gypsum, vermiculite and chrysotile asbestos but mineral wool or amosite asbestos is present in the sample;
- *Constituents are absent that are called for in the formulas.* For example, the formulas call for gypsum, vermiculite and chrysotile asbestos but no vermiculite is present;
- *Constituents are identified in disproportionate amounts.* For example, the formula calls for chrysotile asbestos to be present at 5-10 percent but the results indicate that chrysotile asbestos is present at 80-90 percent.

In reviewing the results of analyses performed by other laboratories, RJLG has found that they do not always provide sufficient data to enable one to compare the results to a product formula. For example, some laboratories issue laboratory reports for a bulk building material sample by identifying and quantifying only the asbestos component of the sample. With such limited information (i.e., the identity and quantity of only one constituent) one cannot determine to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty whether or not the material is consistent with a specific product formula. Another example is that some laboratories identify and quantify the asbestos component of the sample and report all other components under a category heading such as "binder" or "non-fibrous material". Again, with such limited and nonspecific information about the components of

Expert Report
Product Identification

the sample, one cannot determine to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty whether or not the material is consistent with a specific product formula.

4.0 Claims Documents Reviewed

RJLG was provided with documents filed by claimants in this matter to which building inspection and laboratory data were attached. Bulk sample analytical data was provided for 445 claims. RJLG reviewed the documents provided and compiled all laboratory results of bulk building materials. The compilation included such information as claim number, address, city, state, building type, building description (e.g., elementary school, government, college dormitory), claimed product (e.g., Monokote 3, Zonolite Acoustical Plaster, acoustical products, ceiling tile, flooring, texture finish, surface treatment), sample description (e.g., spray-on material, acoustical material, debris, dust, white fibrous material, fireproofing, ceiling spray, fibrous material, sheetrock), gross visual description (e.g., gray fibrous, white/tan granular, white chalky, white fluffy), identification of components observed (e.g., chrysotile, amosite, perlite, vermiculite, calcite, cellulose, mineral wool, binder), and the abundance (i.e., percent) of each identified component. Data for 14,707 asbestos-containing samples from 445 claims were compiled and reviewed. The sample by sample data compilation is included on a CD submitted with this report.

The data have been summarized in Appendix B which provides for each claim, the claim number and the number of samples that: (1) report the presence of asbestos; (2) reports data for samples that are not Grace products; (3) have insufficient data to determine whether the sample is inconsistent or not inconsistent with Grace's product formulas; (4) have data for samples that are inconsistent with Grace's product formulas; and (5) have data for samples that are not inconsistent with Grace's product formulas.

4.1 Samples with Laboratory Data Demonstrating Not a Grace Product

Of the results reviewed, 7,504 samples were not surfacing materials manufactured by Grace. These samples included pipe insulation, mud, tape, ceiling tile, floor tile, glaze and mastic. A list of the claims reviewed and the number of ACM samples that are not a Grace product is provided in Appendix B.

4.2 Samples with Insufficient Laboratory Data

Laboratory data were insufficient to render a conclusion as to whether the material was inconsistent or not inconsistent with Grace's formulas for 5,147 samples. Some of the laboratory results indicated the amount of chrysotile asbestos that was present in the samples but did not identify any of the other constituents of the material. Other laboratory results indicated the amount of chrysotile asbestos that was present and identified the remaining portion of the material simply as "binder" or "non-fibrous material" without identifying the specific components that make up the binding material. A list of the claims reviewed and the number of ACM samples where there were insufficient laboratory data to draw a conclusion is provided in Appendix B.

Expert Report
Product Identification

4.3 Samples with Laboratory Data Inconsistent with Grace Products

Laboratory data from 1,418 samples demonstrated that the surfacing ACM that was sampled is inconsistent with the Grace formulas. The laboratory results indicated the presence of a component not called for in the Grace formulas (e.g., non-chrysotile asbestos, cellulose, glass fiber, mineral wool, foam) or the absence of a component called for in the Grace formulas. A list of the claims reviewed and the number of ACM samples that are inconsistent with Grace's formulas is provided in Appendix B.

4.4 Samples with Laboratory Data Not Inconsistent with Grace Products

Laboratory results showed that 638 samples are possibly Grace's product (i.e., not inconsistent with Grace's formulas for asbestos-containing surfacing products). A list of claims reviewed identifying the claims and the number of ACM samples that are not inconsistent with Grace's formulas is provided in Appendix B.

4.5 Claims with Laboratory Data that Fail to Establish the Presence of a Grace Product

RJLG reviewed laboratory data from 445 claims. There were 143 claims (with 4,221 samples) where the laboratory data did not establish the presence of a Grace asbestos-containing surfacing product in the buildings at issue in those claims. A list of the 143 claims is shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1. List of Claims with Laboratory Data that Fail to Establish the Presence of a Grace Product

| | | | | | | | |
|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 2636 | 6966 | 8370 | 10643 | 11620 | 12315 | 12430 | 12528 |
| 2763 | 7028 | 8371 | 10644 | 11664 | 12316 | 12431 | 12530 |
| 2785 | 7092 | 8372 | 10645 | 11678 | 12317 | 12432 | 12531 |
| 2977 | 8027 | 8373 | 10646 | 11680 | 12322 | 12433 | 12533 |
| 3406 | 8028 | 8374 | 10647 | 11681 | 12329 | 12440 | 12534 |
| 3515 | 8029 | 8375 | 10650 | 11682 | 12331 | 12443 | 12536 |
| 4382 | 8030 | 9684 | 10651 | 11683 | 12346 | 12476 | 12542 |
| 5651 | 8035 | 10631 | 10652 | 11684 | 12348 | 12489 | 12654 |
| 6941 | 8357 | 10632 | 10653 | 12293 | 12368 | 12490 | 12672 |
| 6957 | 8358 | 10633 | 10655 | 12303 | 12395 | 12491 | 12673 |
| 6958 | 8359 | 10634 | 10656 | 12304 | 12396 | 12493 | 12681 |
| 6959 | 8361 | 10635 | 10657 | 12305 | 12397 | 12498 | 12682 |
| 6960 | 8362 | 10636 | 10658 | 12307 | 12405 | 12500 | 12683 |
| 6961 | 8363 | 10637 | 10659 | 12308 | 12421 | 12501 | 12720 |
| 6962 | 8364 | 10638 | 10662 | 12310 | 12422 | 12503 | 12752 |
| 6963 | 8365 | 10640 | 11322 | 12311 | 12423 | 12522 | 12780 |
| 6964 | 8368 | 10641 | 11323 | 12312 | 12424 | 12526 | 13950 |
| 6965 | 8369 | 10642 | 11618 | 12313 | 12427 | 12527 | |

Expert Report
Product Identification

5.0 Appendix A
Grace Product Formulas

FORMULAE FOR ASBESTOS-CONTAINING FIREPROOFING,
ACOUSTICAL PLASTER AND SURFACE TEXTURE
PRODUCTS MANUFACTURED BY GRACE AND/OR ZONOLITE

The following are formulae for W.R. Grace & Co.-Conn. and Zonolite Company asbestos-containing acoustical plaster, surface texture and fireproofing products.

Zonolite Acoustical Plastic (Standard)
(a/k/a Vermiculite Acoustical Plastic/Plaster)

Vermiculite (60-70%)
Bentonite (montmorillonite type) (15-19%)
Asbestos (15-19%)
Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (<1%)

Zonolite Acoustical Plastic (Bermuda Tan)
(a/k/a Vermiculite Acoustical Plastic/Plaster)

Vermiculite (56-64%)
Bentonite (montmorillonite type) (16-20%)
Asbestos (16-20%)
Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (<1%)
Dowicide (<1%)
Sodium Nitrate (<1%)

Board of Education Hard Texture

Perlite (40-48%)
Bentonite (montmorillonite type) (23-27%)
Titanium Dioxide (13-15%)
Asbestos (9-12%)
North Carolina Clay (2-4%)
ZOD Concentrate (2-3%)
Calcium Carbonate (1-2%)
Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (<1%)
Fungicide (<.5%)

Board of Education Texture

Perlite (41-49%)
Bentonite (montmorillonite type) (23-27%)
Titanium Dioxide (13-15%)
Asbestos (9-12%)
North Carolina Clay (2-3%)
Calcium Carbonate (1-2%)
Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (<1%)
Fungicide (<.5%)

Ari-Zonolite Board Texture

This product was manufactured by the Ari-Zonolite Company for a two or three year period in the early 1960's. Grace believes the product contained approximately 10% commercial asbestos, but has no formula documents. The investigation is continuing.

Econo-White 65 and Econo-White 70
(a/k/a Econo-White Acoustical
Texture and Econo-White Super White)

Perlite (60-70%)
Bentonite (montmorillonite type) (13-17%)
Asbestos (13-17%)
Titanium Dioxide (2-8%)
Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (<1%)

Zonolite Finish Coat (Decorator's White)
(a/k/a Zonolite Acoustical Finish)

Vermiculite (63-72%)
Bentonite (montmorillonite type) (11-15%)
Asbestos (11-14%)
Titanium Dioxide (5-7%)
Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (<.5%)

Zonolite Finish Coat (Decorator's White) (Extra Hard)

Vermiculite (61-69%)
Bentonite (montmorillonite type) (12-14%)
Asbestos (11-14%)
Titanium Dioxide (5-7%)
Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (2-3%)

Hi Sorb Acoustical Plaster
XX White HiSorb

South African Vermiculite (20-32%)
Perlite (4-13%)
Plaster of Paris (50-60%)
Asbestos (8-10%)
Bentonite (1.5-2.5%)
Cal. Concentrate (2.3%)
Titanium (1.5-2.5%)
Drywall Additive (<3%)

Oyster White HiSorb

South African Vermiculite (18-27%)
Vermiculite (10-13%)

Plaster of Paris (50-60%)
Asbestos (8-10%)
Bentonite (1.5-2.5%)
Cal. Concentrate (1.8-2.5%)
Drywall Additive (<3%)

Hi Temp Insulating Cement
(a/k/a Zonolite High Temperature Cement,
Zonolite Hi-Temperature Cement,
Zonolite High Temperature Insulating Cement)

Vermiculite (60-70%)
Bentonite (montmorillonite Type) (15-19%)
Asbestos (15-19%)
Orvus Neutral Granule (<1%)

Zonolite Mono-Kote (MK-1)

Vermiculite (40-45%)
Plaster of Paris (33-37%)
Asbestos (10-13%)
Portland Cement (7-9%)
ZOD Concentrate (1-2%)

Spra-Insulation (MK-2)

Vermiculite (41-46%)
Plaster of Paris (33-37%)
Asbestos (10-13%)
White Portland Cement (6-9%)
ZOD Concentrate (1-2%)

Zonolite Mono-Kote MK-3

Plaster of Paris (55-59%)
Vermiculite (28-32%)
Asbestos (10-14%)
Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (<1%)

Perltx Super-40 Fog
(a/k/a Perltx Fog)

Whiting (75-86%)
Talc (6-8%)
Asbestos (4-7%)
Staramic (3-5%)
Titanium Dioxide (1-2%)
Dowicil (<1%)
Methocel (<1%)
NTA (<1%)
Daxad-17 (<1%)

Ultramarine Blue (<.5%)

In two formula documents, one undated and one dated March 14, 1972, talc, titanium dioxide, Daxad 17 and ultramarine blue were eliminated from the above formula and replaced with lithopone (6-9%), casein (1-2%), TSP (<1%) and sodium nitrite (<.5%).

Perltex Spray Surfacer
(a/k/a PlasterTex, Perltex Super-40
Spray Surfacer, and Perltex Super-40
and Gun Coat Spray Surfacer)

Whiting (11-40%)
Sodium Nitrate (<1%)
Lithopone (5-25%)
Casein (2.5-11%)
Mica XX (7-10%)
Pryprophyllite (3-8%)
Soya Flour (0.5-4%)
Asbestos (6-13%)
Kallloid Clay (5-12%)
Tri Sodium Phosphate (0.5-4%)
KA 47 Titanium (0.5-4%)
Mica AA (7-16%)
Snow Flake Lime (<1%)
Dowicide G (<1%)
Calcium Sterate (<1%)
Perlite (4-18%)

Perltex Super-40 Perlite
(a/k/a Perltex Perlite and Super-40 Perlite)

Whiting (65-75%)
Perlite Aggregate (7-11%)
Asbestos (6-8%)
Lithopone (5-9%)
Staramic (4-6%)
Casein (1-2%)
Methocel (<1%)
Trisodium Phosphate (<1%)
Dowicil (<.5%)
Sodium Nitrite (<.5%)
NTA (<.5%)

Perltex Super-40 Polycoarse
(a/k/a Perltex Polycoarse,
Perltex Super-40 Poly and Perltex Poly)

Whiting (64-74%)
Talc (14-16%)

Asbestos (4-6%)
Staramic (4-5%)
Lithopone (3-4%)
Methocel (1-2%)
Polystyrene Aggregate (1-2%)
NTA (<1%)
Dowicil (<.5%)
Daxad-17 (<.5%)
Ultramarine Blue (<.5%)

Two formula documents, one undated and one dated March 14, 1972, set forth the following formula for Super 40 Poly:

Whiting (38-42%)
Talc (38-42%)
Asbestos (1-2%)
Lithopone (11-15%)
Methocel (<1%)
Poly Beads (1-3%)
NTA (<1%)
Dowicil (<1%)
Casein (1-2%)
TSP (<1%)
Kalloid Clay (1-2%)
Natrosol (<1%)

Perltex Super-40 SAV

Whiting (67-77%)
SAV Aggragate (6-8%)
Lithopone (6-8%)
Asbestos (5-7%)
Staramic (4-6%)
Casein (1-2%)
Trisodium Phosphate (<1%)
Methocel (<1%)
NTA (<1%)
Sodium Nitrite (<.5%)
Dowicil (<.5%)

Zonolite Spra-Tex (Regular)

Kaolin Clay (31-35%)
Asbestos (30-36%)
Titanium Dioxide (15-17%)
Vermiculite (14-19%)
Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (<1%)

Zonolite Spra-Tex EH (Extra Hard)

Kaolin Clay (30-34%)
ASbestos (29-35%)
Vermiculite (14-19%)
Titanium Dioxide (15-17%)
ZOD Concentrate (2-4%)
Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (<1%)

Spra-Wyt
(a/k/a Spra-Wyt Finish, Spra-Whyt
Acoustical, and Spra-Wyt Acoustical Finish)

Perlite (50-60%)
Bentonite (montmorillonite type) (16-20%)
Asbestos (16-20%)
Titanox RA-50 (4-5%)
Double Hydrate Lime (4-5%)
Duponol WA Dry (<.5%)
Dowicide "6" (<.5%)

Versakote (Prep Coat #4)

White Portland Cement (38-42%)
Whiting (26-30%)
Hydrated Lime (11-13%)
Perlite Aggregate (6-10%)
Asbestos (5-7%)
Titanium Dioxide (2-3%)
Aluminum Hydrate (1-3%)
Aluminum Stearate (<1%)
Gelvitol (<1%)
Hamaco (<1%)
Daxad-17 (<1%)
Darex Set Accel. (<1%)
Nopco PD-1 (<1%)
Dowicil (<.5%)

Z-Tex
(a/k/a EZ-Tex)

Plaster of Paris (40-50%)
Vermiculite (24-28%)
Asbestos (13-17%)
White Cement (11-13%)
ZOD Concentrate (<.5%)
Retarder (<.5%)
Dowicide (<.5%)

Zono-Coustic 1

Vermiculite (75-85%)
Asbestos (11-14%)

Plaster of Paris (5-8%)

Zono-Coustic 2

Vermiculite (41-46%)
Plaster of Paris (33-37%)
Asbestos (10-13%)
White Portland Cement (6-9%)
ZOD Concentrate (1-2%)

Zono-Coustic 3

Plaster of Paris (35-39%)
Vermiculite (34-38%)
Asbestos (11-14%)
Hydrated Lime (8-11%)
Titanium Dioxide (3-4%)
Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (<1%)

Zono-Coustic Z

Vermiculite (38-42%)
Plaster of Paris (34-38%)
Asbestos (11-14%)
Portland Cement (7-9%)
Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (<1%)

Perlcoustic

Perlite (53-61%)
7 M Asbestos (15-17%)
Solka-Floc BW-20 (4.5-5.5%)
Bentonite (19-21%)
Sodium Nitrite (<1%)
Dowicide G (<1%)
Naconal DB Beads (<1%)

Perltx Prep Coat #3

White Cement (40-44%)
Asbestos (4-5%)
Calcium Carbonate (18-20%)
Vermiculite (10.5-11.5%)
Finish Lime Double
Hydrated (10.5-11.5%)
Perlite (10.5-11.5%)

Prep Coat #5 (Puerto Rico)

White Portland Cement (38-42%)

Whiting (14-19%)
Hydrated Lime (11-13%)
Asbestos (5-7%)
Vermiculite (18-22%)
Perlite Aggregate (7-11%)

Satin White

Vermiculite (46-53%)
Bentonite (6-9%)
Asbestos (13-17%)
Whiting (20-24%)
Titanium Dioxide (1-2%)
Satin White Concentrate (2-5%)
MBS 40 (<.5%)

Ari-Zonolite Natural

Vermiculite (55-60%)
Asbestos (15-19%)
Bentonite (15-19%)
Duponol (<.5%)
Sodium Nitrate (<1%)
Plaster of Paris (6-9%)

Ari-Zonolite Oyster White

Vermiculite (38-42%)
Asbestos (28-32%)
Bentonite (10-14%)
Duponol (<1%)
Titanium (4-8%)
Perlite (10-13%)

Ari-Zonolite Nu-White

Asbestos (41-46%)
Bentonite (9-13%)
Plaster of Paris (4-7%)
Perlite (36-41%)
Duponol (<1%)
Elvalol (<1%)

Zonolite Finishing Cement

Asbestos (21-26%)
Bentonite (3-5%)
Plaster of Paris (42-50%)
Vermiculite (22-27%)
Gypsum Retarder (<.5%)

Whiting (14-19%)
Hydrated Lime (11-13%)
Asbestos (5-7%)
Vermiculite (18-22%)
Perlite Aggregate (7-11%)

Satin White

Vermiculite (46-53%)
Bentonite (6-9%)
Asbestos (13-17%)
Whiting (20-24%)
Titanium Dioxide (1-2%)
Satin White Concentrate (2-5%)
MBS 40 (<.5%)

Ari-Zonolite Natural

Vermiculite (55-60%)
Asbestos (15-19%)
Bentonite (15-19%)
Duponol (<.5%)
Sodium Nitrate (<1%)
Plaster of Paris (6-9%)

Ari-Zonolite Oyster White

Vermiculite (38-42%)
Asbestos (28-32%)
Bentonite (10-14%)
Duponol (<1%)
Titanium (4-8%)
Perlite (10-13%)

Ari-Zonolite Nu-White

Asbestos (41-46%)
Bentonite (9-13%)
Plaster of Paris (4-7%)
Perlite (36-41%)
Duponol (<1%)
Elvalol (<1%)

Zonolite Finishing Cement

Asbestos (21-26%)
Bentonite (3-5%)
Plaster of Paris (42-50%)
Vermiculite (22-27%)
Gypsum Retarder (<.5%)

Expert Report
Product Identification

6.0 Appendix B

| Claim Number | Number of Samples | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Total with Asbestos | Not a Grace product | Insufficient Data | Wrong Components | Not Inconsistent with Grace Formula |
| 2636 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - |
| 2763 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| 2785 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| 2977 | 7 | 4 | 3 | - | - |
| 3405 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 3406 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| 3515 | 14 | 12 | 2 | - | - |
| 4382 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| 5586 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| 5587 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 5588 | 9 | 5 | 3 | - | 1 |
| 5589 | 62 | - | 26 | 35 | 1 |
| 5590 | 7 | 2 | 4 | - | 1 |
| 5591 | 39 | 27 | 9 | 2 | 1 |
| 5644 | 21 | 11 | 9 | - | 1 |
| 5645 | 17 | 15 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 5646 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 5647 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 5648 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| 5650 | 31 | 13 | 13 | - | 5 |
| 5651 | 11 | 8 | 3 | - | - |
| 5652 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 5653 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 5654 | 115 | 81 | 10 | 20 | 4 |
| 5655 | 306 | 256 | 43 | 1 | 6 |
| 5656 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 5657 | 213 | 201 | 8 | 1 | 3 |
| 5658 | 62 | 22 | 34 | 4 | 2 |
| 5659 | 9 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 5660 | 6 | - | 4 | - | 2 |
| 5661 | 48 | 42 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 5662 | 70 | 53 | 1 | 15 | 1 |
| 5663 | 21 | 15 | 5 | - | 1 |
| 5664 | 110 | 95 | 11 | 3 | 1 |
| 5665 | 51 | 7 | 40 | 1 | 3 |

| Claim Number | Number of Samples | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Total with Asbestos | Not a Grace product | Insufficient Data | Wrong Components | Not Inconsistent with Grace Formula |
| 5666 | 113 | 105 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| 5667 | 92 | 89 | 2 | - | 1 |
| 5668 | 103 | 99 | - | 3 | 1 |
| 5669 | 53 | 40 | 8 | 3 | 2 |
| 5670 | 16 | 9 | 6 | - | 1 |
| 5671 | 26 | 16 | 9 | - | 1 |
| 5672 | 149 | 48 | 94 | 2 | 5 |
| 5673 | 107 | 95 | 8 | 3 | 1 |
| 5674 | 53 | 26 | 17 | 8 | 2 |
| 5675 | 86 | 63 | 10 | 12 | 1 |
| 5676 | 170 | 72 | 90 | 5 | 3 |
| 5677 | 189 | 88 | 85 | 11 | 5 |
| 5678 | 49 | 21 | 21 | 6 | 1 |
| 5679 | 30 | 17 | - | 12 | 1 |
| 5680 | 45 | 39 | 5 | - | 1 |
| 5681 | 29 | 14 | 14 | - | 1 |
| 5682 | 19 | 15 | 3 | - | 1 |
| 5683 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 5684 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 5685 | 223 | 124 | 77 | 20 | 2 |
| 5686 | 101 | 73 | 13 | 14 | 1 |
| 5687 | 68 | 42 | 19 | 6 | 1 |
| 5688 | 44 | 38 | 5 | - | 1 |
| 5690 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| 6065 | 111 | 86 | 13 | 7 | 5 |
| 6066 | 9 | 1 | 6 | - | 2 |
| 6067 | 64 | 35 | 21 | 3 | 5 |
| 6068 | 17 | 15 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 6069 | 63 | 55 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 6070 | 95 | 76 | 17 | 1 | 1 |
| 6071 | 100 | 82 | 16 | 1 | 1 |
| 6072 | 70 | 56 | 13 | - | 1 |
| 6073 | 87 | 67 | 13 | 5 | 2 |
| 6074 | 17 | 5 | 8 | - | 4 |
| 6102 | 4 | 3 | - | - | 1 |

Expert Report
Product Identification

| Claim Number | Number of Samples | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Total with Asbestos | Not a Grace product | Insufficient Data | Wrong Components | Not Inconsistent with Grace Formula |
| 6933 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 6937 | 11 | 8 | 1 | - | 2 |
| 6938 | 14 | 9 | 3 | - | 2 |
| 6939 | 5 | - | 3 | - | 2 |
| 6940 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 6941 | 5 | - | 3 | 2 | - |
| 6942 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 6943 | 37 | 2 | 21 | 13 | 1 |
| 6944 | 4 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 6945 | 124 | 16 | 98 | - | 10 |
| 6946 | 94 | 29 | 19 | 1 | 45 |
| 6947 | 23 | 3 | 8 | - | 12 |
| 6948 | 131 | 16 | 98 | - | 17 |
| 6949 | 46 | 3 | 38 | - | 5 |
| 6950 | 348 | 1 | 315 | 1 | 31 |
| 6951 | 330 | 48 | 269 | 1 | 12 |
| 6952 | 17 | 13 | 2 | - | 2 |
| 6954 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 6955 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 6956 | 6 | - | 5 | - | 1 |
| 6957 | 23 | 19 | 4 | - | - |
| 6958 | 7 | 3 | 4 | - | - |
| 6959 | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
| 6960 | 6 | 3 | 3 | - | - |
| 6961 | 15 | 12 | 3 | - | - |
| 6962 | 8 | 5 | 3 | - | - |
| 6963 | 9 | 5 | 4 | - | - |
| 6964 | 8 | 3 | 5 | - | - |
| 6965 | 6 | - | 6 | - | - |
| 6966 | 30 | 28 | 2 | - | - |
| 6967 | 6 | - | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| 6968 | 288 | 41 | 246 | - | 1 |
| 6969 | 39 | 31 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 7028 | 16 | 7 | 8 | 1 | - |
| 7092 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| 8016 | 7 | 1 | 5 | - | 1 |
| 8017 | 6 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 8018 | 9 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 8019 | 9 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 |

| Claim Number | Number of Samples | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Total with Asbestos | Not a Grace product | Insufficient Data | Wrong Components | Not Inconsistent with Grace Formula |
| 8020 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 8021 | 22 | 18 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 8022 | 8 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 |
| 8023 | 5 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 8024 | 25 | - | 1 | 23 | 1 |
| 8025 | 4 | - | - | 3 | 1 |
| 8026 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 8027 | 57 | 47 | 7 | 3 | - |
| 8028 | 41 | 37 | 1 | 3 | - |
| 8029 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| 8030 | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
| 8031 | 25 | 12 | - | 11 | 2 |
| 8032 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 8033 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| 8034 | 12 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8035 | 20 | 6 | 9 | 5 | - |
| 8036 | 8 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 8037 | 18 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| 8038 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 8039 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 8164 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8165 | 18 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 8166 | 11 | 7 | 3 | - | 1 |
| 8167 | 27 | 18 | 8 | - | 1 |
| 8168 | 21 | 15 | 5 | - | 1 |
| 8169 | 23 | 17 | 5 | - | 1 |
| 8170 | 17 | 15 | - | 1 | 1 |
| 8171 | 28 | 26 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 8172 | 13 | 7 | 5 | - | 1 |
| 8173 | 13 | 11 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 8174 | 21 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8175 | 16 | 12 | 3 | - | 1 |
| 8176 | 19 | 16 | 2 | - | 1 |
| 8177 | 6 | 5 | - | - | 1 |
| 8178 | 35 | 33 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 8179 | 11 | 10 | - | - | 1 |
| 8180 | 14 | 10 | 3 | - | 1 |
| 8181 | 9 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 8182 | 10 | 5 | - | 4 | 1 |

Expert Report
Product Identification

| Claim Number | Number of Samples | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Total with Asbestos | Not a Grace product | Insufficient Data | Wrong Components | Not Inconsistent with Grace Formula |
| 8183 | 11 | 10 | - | - | 1 |
| 8184 | 7 | 4 | 1 | - | 2 |
| 8185 | 59 | 48 | 7 | 3 | 1 |
| 8186 | 9 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 8357 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| 8358 | 7 | - | 6 | 1 | - |
| 8359 | 8 | - | 7 | 1 | - |
| 8360 | 15 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| 8361 | 5 | - | 3 | 2 | - |
| 8362 | 21 | - | 21 | - | - |
| 8363 | 9 | 4 | 5 | - | - |
| 8364 | 5 | - | 5 | - | - |
| 8365 | 15 | 1 | 11 | 3 | - |
| 8366 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 8367 | 5 | - | 4 | - | 1 |
| 8368 | 7 | - | 1 | 6 | - |
| 8369 | 7 | - | 7 | - | - |
| 8370 | 7 | - | 4 | 3 | - |
| 8371 | 12 | - | 12 | - | - |
| 8372 | 3 | - | 3 | - | - |
| 8373 | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
| 8374 | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| 8375 | 12 | - | 12 | - | - |
| 8376 | 6 | - | 3 | - | 3 |
| 8377 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 |
| 9645 | 321 | 8 | 71 | 240 | 2 |
| 9646 | 108 | 3 | 98 | 6 | 1 |
| 9647 | 132 | 10 | 113 | 8 | 1 |
| 9684 | 14 | 3 | 10 | 1 | - |
| 9840 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9841 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9844 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9845 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 9846 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9847 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9848 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9850 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9851 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9852 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |

| Claim Number | Number of Samples | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Total with Asbestos | Not a Grace product | Insufficient Data | Wrong Components | Not Inconsistent with Grace Formula |
| 9853 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9854 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9855 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 9856 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9858 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9859 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9860 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9862 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9863 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9865 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9866 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9867 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9869 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9870 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9871 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 9872 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9873 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9874 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9875 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9876 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 9877 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9878 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9879 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9880 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9882 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9884 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9885 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9886 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9887 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9890 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9891 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 9892 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9893 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9895 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9896 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9897 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9909 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9910 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9912 | 5 | - | - | - | 5 |

Expert Report
Product Identification

| Claim Number | Number of Samples | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Total with Asbestos | Not a Grace product | Insufficient Data | Wrong Components | Not Inconsistent with Grace Formula |
| 9913 | 5 | - | - | - | 5 |
| 10631 | 36 | - | 18 | 18 | - |
| 10632 | 56 | - | 37 | 19 | - |
| 10633 | 35 | 5 | 22 | 8 | - |
| 10634 | 45 | - | 24 | 21 | - |
| 10635 | 27 | 4 | 8 | 15 | - |
| 10636 | 32 | 2 | 20 | 10 | - |
| 10637 | 46 | 12 | 15 | 19 | - |
| 10638 | 44 | 12 | 22 | 10 | - |
| 10640 | 17 | 7 | 7 | 3 | - |
| 10641 | 115 | 6 | 45 | 64 | - |
| 10642 | 52 | 9 | 9 | 34 | - |
| 10643 | 54 | 12 | 38 | 4 | - |
| 10644 | 72 | 8 | 50 | 14 | - |
| 10645 | 34 | 7 | 10 | 17 | - |
| 10646 | 15 | - | 4 | 11 | - |
| 10647 | 59 | 12 | 28 | 19 | - |
| 10648 | 49 | 8 | 29 | - | 12 |
| 10649 | 12 | - | 4 | 7 | 1 |
| 10650 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| 10651 | 14 | - | 14 | - | - |
| 10652 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| 10653 | 22 | - | 18 | 4 | - |
| 10654 | 25 | - | 16 | 7 | 2 |
| 10655 | 6 | 2 | 4 | - | - |
| 10656 | 8 | - | 8 | - | - |
| 10657 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| 10658 | 16 | - | 11 | 5 | - |
| 10659 | 4 | 3 | 1 | - | - |
| 10661 | 27 | - | 12 | 14 | 1 |
| 10662 | 7 | - | 1 | 6 | - |
| 11008 | 10 | - | - | - | 10 |
| 11285 | 37 | - | 34 | - | 3 |
| 11286 | 39 | 5 | 29 | - | 5 |
| 11287 | 80 | 47 | 28 | 4 | 1 |
| 11288 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 11289 | 8 | 4 | 2 | - | 2 |
| 11290 | 20 | 18 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 11291 | 10 | 3 | 5 | - | 2 |

| Claim Number | Number of Samples | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Total with Asbestos | Not a Grace product | Insufficient Data | Wrong Components | Not Inconsistent with Grace Formula |
| 11292 | 35 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 11293 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 11294 | 18 | 10 | 6 | - | 2 |
| 11295 | 22 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 1 |
| 11296 | 17 | 16 | - | - | 1 |
| 11297 | 7 | - | 6 | - | 1 |
| 11322 | 22 | 16 | 6 | - | - |
| 11323 | 15 | 9 | 5 | 1 | - |
| 11326 | 24 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 2 |
| 11327 | 15 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 1 |
| 11328 | 22 | - | 9 | 11 | 2 |
| 11329 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 1 |
| 11330 | 5 | - | 3 | - | 2 |
| 11618 | 6 | 6 | - | - | - |
| 11620 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - |
| 11627 | 82 | 47 | 20 | 14 | 1 |
| 11632 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 11664 | 14 | 8 | 6 | - | - |
| 11678 | 10 | 7 | 3 | - | - |
| 11680 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - |
| 11681 | 15 | 7 | 8 | - | - |
| 11682 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 8 | - |
| 11683 | 4 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| 11684 | 16 | 5 | 11 | - | - |
| 12293 | 48 | 41 | 7 | - | - |
| 12303 | 33 | 1 | 16 | 16 | - |
| 12304 | 7 | - | 5 | 2 | - |
| 12305 | 14 | - | 14 | - | - |
| 12307 | 10 | - | 10 | - | - |
| 12308 | 40 | - | 28 | 12 | - |
| 12310 | 34 | 2 | 32 | - | - |
| 12311 | 14 | 5 | 9 | - | - |
| 12312 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 9 | - |
| 12313 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 2 | - |
| 12315 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| 12316 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| 12317 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 12322 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| 12329 | 28 | 12 | 16 | - | - |

Expert Report
Product Identification

| Claim Number | Number of Samples | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Total with Asbestos | Not a Grace product | Insufficient Data | Wrong Components | Not Inconsistent with Grace Formula |
| 12331 | 24 | 13 | 11 | - | - |
| 12346 | 6 | - | 6 | - | - |
| 12348 | 62 | 57 | 5 | - | - |
| 12368 | 28 | - | 26 | 2 | - |
| 12377 | 22 | 16 | 5 | - | 1 |
| 12388 | 18 | 17 | - | - | 1 |
| 12394 | 20 | 15 | 4 | - | 1 |
| 12395 | 10 | 3 | - | 7 | - |
| 12396 | 7 | 6 | 1 | - | - |
| 12397 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | - |
| 12405 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 6 | - |
| 12410 | 12 | 6 | 5 | - | 1 |
| 12412 | 26 | 17 | 8 | - | 1 |
| 12421 | 81 | 3 | 32 | 46 | - |
| 12422 | 86 | 25 | 61 | - | - |
| 12423 | 23 | 15 | 6 | 2 | - |
| 12424 | 17 | 7 | 10 | - | - |
| 12427 | 6 | 5 | 1 | - | - |
| 12430 | 54 | 18 | 35 | 1 | - |
| 12431 | 12 | 6 | 6 | - | - |
| 12432 | 17 | 12 | 5 | - | - |
| 12433 | 28 | 15 | 13 | - | - |
| 12438 | 80 | 62 | 17 | - | 1 |
| 12439 | 355 | 224 | 130 | - | 1 |
| 12440 | 265 | 157 | 108 | - | - |
| 12442 | 27 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| 12443 | 354 | 248 | 104 | 2 | - |
| 12454 | 135 | 130 | 4 | - | 1 |
| 12457 | 18 | 13 | 4 | - | 1 |
| 12476 | 68 | 45 | 23 | - | - |
| 12489 | 4 | - | 4 | - | - |
| 12490 | 7 | 5 | 2 | - | - |
| 12491 | 22 | 12 | 10 | - | - |
| 12493 | 226 | 147 | 63 | 16 | - |
| 12496 | 4 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 12498 | 79 | 57 | 22 | - | - |
| 12500 | 100 | 83 | 17 | - | - |
| 12501 | 409 | 280 | 128 | 1 | - |
| 12503 | 65 | 46 | 19 | - | - |

| Claim Number | Number of Samples | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Total with Asbestos | Not a Grace product | Insufficient Data | Wrong Components | Not Inconsistent with Grace Formula |
| 12522 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| 12526 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - |
| 12527 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - |
| 12528 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 12530 | 11 | 2 | 9 | - | - |
| 12531 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| 12533 | 6 | 3 | 3 | - | - |
| 12534 | 23 | 17 | 5 | 1 | - |
| 12536 | 41 | 17 | 22 | 2 | - |
| 12537 | 10 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 12541 | 9 | 8 | - | - | 1 |
| 12542 | 8 | 4 | 4 | - | - |
| 12546 | 27 | 23 | 3 | - | 1 |
| 12548 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 12549 | 23 | 21 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 12554 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 12557 | 27 | 19 | 7 | - | 1 |
| 12570 | 67 | 54 | 12 | - | 1 |
| 12576 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 12590 | 83 | 45 | 37 | - | 1 |
| 12591 | 120 | 72 | 45 | 2 | 1 |
| 12651 | 6 | - | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 12652 | 21 | 15 | 5 | - | 1 |
| 12653 | 14 | - | 1 | - | 13 |
| 12654 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| 12655 | 8 | - | 3 | - | 5 |
| 12656 | 14 | 7 | 4 | - | 3 |
| 12657 | 17 | 7 | 7 | - | 3 |
| 12658 | 27 | 11 | 11 | - | 5 |
| 12659 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 3 |
| 12660 | 24 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| 12661 | 19 | 13 | 3 | - | 3 |
| 12662 | 13 | 6 | - | - | 7 |
| 12663 | 14 | 6 | 1 | - | 7 |
| 12664 | 7 | 6 | - | - | 1 |
| 12665 | 30 | 12 | 16 | - | 2 |
| 12666 | 26 | 12 | 12 | 1 | 1 |
| 12667 | 25 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 5 |
| 12669 | 34 | 21 | 8 | - | 5 |

Expert Report
Product Identification

| Claim Number | Number of Samples | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Total with Asbestos | Not a Grace product | Insufficient Data | Wrong Components | Not Inconsistent with Grace Formula |
| 12672 | 30 | 28 | 2 | - | - |
| 12673 | 14 | 7 | 7 | - | - |
| 12674 | 7 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 |
| 12675 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 12676 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 12677 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 12678 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 12679 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 12680 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 12681 | 11 | 7 | 4 | - | - |
| 12682 | 16 | 15 | 1 | - | - |
| 12683 | 41 | 15 | 26 | - | - |
| 12684 | 476 | 429 | 20 | 26 | 1 |
| 12685 | 36 | 31 | 4 | - | 1 |
| 12686 | 4 | - | 3 | - | 1 |
| 12687 | 15 | 4 | 9 | - | 2 |
| 12688 | 4 | - | - | - | 4 |
| 12689 | 6 | - | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 12690 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 12691 | 51 | 44 | 5 | - | 2 |
| 12692 | 164 | 75 | 62 | 26 | 1 |
| 12693 | 113 | 23 | 59 | 30 | 1 |
| 12694 | 98 | 21 | 47 | 27 | 3 |
| 12695 | 88 | 9 | 48 | 30 | 1 |
| 12696 | 78 | 36 | 17 | 22 | 3 |
| 12697 | 233 | 186 | 31 | 15 | 1 |
| 12698 | 234 | 186 | 30 | 16 | 2 |
| 12699 | 157 | 74 | 55 | 27 | 1 |
| 12701 | 14 | 3 | 10 | - | 1 |
| 12702 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 12711 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 12712 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 12713 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 12714 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 12715 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 |

| Claim Number | Number of Samples | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Total with Asbestos | Not a Grace product | Insufficient Data | Wrong Components | Not Inconsistent with Grace Formula |
| 12716 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 12717 | 5 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 |
| 12718 | 22 | 3 | 18 | - | 1 |
| 12719 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 |
| 12720 | 264 | 184 | 50 | 30 | - |
| 12721 | 8 | - | - | 5 | 3 |
| 12722 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 |
| 12723 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 |
| 12724 | 6 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 |
| 12725 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 |
| 12726 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 |
| 12727 | 9 | 1 | 6 | - | 2 |
| 12728 | 13 | 1 | 11 | - | 1 |
| 12729 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 12730 | 4 | - | - | - | 4 |
| 12731 | 4 | - | - | - | 4 |
| 12732 | 4 | - | - | - | 4 |
| 12733 | 4 | - | - | - | 4 |
| 12734 | 30 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| 12735 | 4 | - | - | - | 4 |
| 12736 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 12737 | 25 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 12752 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| 12758 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 12780 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| 13950 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 4 | - |
| 14411 | 40 | 19 | 11 | 5 | 5 |
| 14885 | 21 | 4 | 16 | - | 1 |
| 14707 | 7504 | 5147 | 1418 | 638 | |